

Exercise 1 ~~Exercises on Symplectic angles~~

(i) We will show the claim of multiplication
cyclic

S symplectic $\Rightarrow S^\omega$ symplectic $\Rightarrow \omega|_S$ nondegenerate $\Rightarrow S$ symplectic.

The first implication is true in light of $S^{\omega\omega} = S$.

If S^ω is symplectic, we can write $V = S \oplus S^\omega$.

Let $u \in S$. $\omega(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in S \Rightarrow \omega(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$

$\Rightarrow u = 0$ by nondegeneracy of ω . $\Rightarrow \omega|_S$ is nondegenerate

Lastly, suppose S is not symplectic, i.e. $\exists u \neq 0$ in $S \cap S^\omega$.

$\Rightarrow \omega(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in S \Rightarrow \omega|_S$ is degenerate.

(ii) $\Leftarrow S \subseteq S^\omega \Rightarrow \omega|_S = 0$.

$\Leftarrow \omega|_S = 0 \Rightarrow \text{nondegenerate}$. Fix $v \in S$.

We have $\omega(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall u \in S \Rightarrow u \in S^\omega$. This shows $S \subseteq S^\omega$.

(iii) ~~Thus~~ This follows from the observation that for any two subspaces $X, Y \subseteq V$ such that $X \subseteq Y$, we have $Y^\omega \subseteq X^\omega$. This trivially holds.

(iv) ~~Suppose~~ $\dim V = \dim S + \dim S^\omega$.

From ~~(iii)~~ S isotropic means $S = S^\omega \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dim S = \frac{1}{2} \dim V \\ \omega|_S = 0 \quad \text{by (ii).} \end{cases}$

\Leftarrow by (ii), S is isotropic., i.e. $S \subseteq S^\omega$. Since $\dim S = \dim S^\omega$, we get $S = S^\omega$.

Exercise 2 (i) $\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n dq_i \wedge dp_i$

$\Rightarrow \omega^n = n! dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n$

$f^* \omega_0 = \omega_0 \rightarrow f^* \omega^n = \omega^n$ (pullback commutes with wedge product)

(ii) $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \varphi^{t*} \omega_0 = \cancel{L_{X_H} \omega_0} = \underbrace{dx_H \wedge \omega_0}_{\text{d}t} + \underbrace{d\varphi_H \omega_0}_{\text{d}t} = d^2 H = 0$.

$\Rightarrow \varphi^{t*} \omega_0$ is constant

But $\varphi^0 = id \Rightarrow \varphi^{t*} \omega_0 = \omega_0 \quad \forall t$.

iii) Thus is almost a tautology.

Exercise 3 over $(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_y^n, \omega_0 = \sum dx_i \wedge dy_i)$

(i) This is a local question, so we can work over $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$
 α gets identified with a map $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$

\Rightarrow locally, the tangent space to the graph of α is given by the span
of the frame $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\}_{i=1, \dots, n} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$

Thus, $\text{Graph}(\alpha)$ is Lagrangian $\Leftrightarrow \forall v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\omega_0 \left(\sum_i v_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right), \sum_k w_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \sum_e \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_e} \right) \right) = 0$$

With $\omega_0 = \sum_s dx^s \wedge dy^s$, a quick computation gives

$$\sum_{i,j} (v_j w_i - v_i w_j) \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \forall v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Crossing for instance $v = e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we get $\sum_i w_i \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0 \quad \forall w$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \forall i$$

Similarly, we get $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad \forall i, j$.

This is precisely the condition $d\alpha = d(\sum f_i dx_i) = 0$.

(ii) We need to show that X_h is tangent to N .

If $p \in N$ and $v \in T_p N$, we have

$$\omega_p(X_h(p), v) = d_p H(v) = 0, \text{ since } v \in T_p N \subseteq T_p(H^{-1}(c)).$$

⇒ by maximality of v (Lagrangian subspace), we get $X_h(p) \in T_p N$.

(iii) Define $\hat{\omega} = \omega + dh \wedge dt$.

$$\Rightarrow (\hat{\omega})^{un} = \omega^{un} dh \wedge dt \leftarrow \text{nonzero vanishing} \rightarrow \hat{\omega} \text{ is symplectic.}$$

Set $X_{\hat{h}} = Y + c \frac{\partial}{\partial h} + b \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, where Y is tangent to M .

$$\Rightarrow i_{X_{\hat{h}}} \hat{\omega} = i_Y \omega + (c \frac{\partial}{\partial h} + b \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(dh \wedge dt) = i_Y \omega + c dt - b dh$$

$$i_{X_{\hat{h}}} \hat{\omega} = d\hat{h} = dH_t + \frac{\partial H_t}{\partial t} dt \leftarrow -dh$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} Y = X_{H_t} \\ c = \frac{\partial H_t}{\partial t} \\ b = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow X_{\hat{h}} = X_{H_t} + \frac{\partial H_t}{\partial t} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial h} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

$$(iv) \alpha = \lambda + H dt \Rightarrow \alpha|_{\hat{N}} = \lambda|_{\hat{N}} + H|_{\hat{N}} \circ dt|_{\hat{N}} \\ = \lambda|_{\hat{N}} + h|_{\hat{N}} \circ dt|_{\hat{N}}$$

$$\hat{\omega}|_{\hat{N}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega|_{\hat{N}} + dh \wedge dt|_{\hat{N}} = d\lambda|_{\hat{N}} + d(h|_{\hat{N}} dt|_{\hat{N}}) = d\alpha|_{\hat{N}} = 0.$$

(v) $\hat{N} \subseteq M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is Lagrangian.

$$N_t \subseteq M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Then } \alpha|_{N_t} = \lambda|_{N_t} - dh|_{N_t} dt|_{N_t} = \lambda|_{N_t}$$

We know $\alpha|_{\hat{N}} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha|_{N_t} = 0 \Rightarrow N_t$ is Lagrangian.

Exercise 4 (i) By the LES in homotopy of the fibration $SOn \rightarrow SU_n \rightarrow S^1 / \mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$\text{we get } \bar{\pi}_1(SOn) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\pi}_1(SU_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\pi}_1(SU_n/SOn) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\pi}_0(SOn) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\pi}_0(SU_n).$$

The last map is zero and $\bar{\pi}_1(SU_n) = 0$ by assumption $\Rightarrow \bar{\pi}_1(SU_n/SOn) = 0$.

Exercise 5.

(i) $\det_c^2: U_n/O_n \rightarrow S^1$ is clearly well-defined and it is a fibration. What is the fiber?

Let us rewrite U_n/O_n in a clearer form. There is a group isomorphism

$$SU_n \times S^1 \rightarrow U_n$$

$$(n, h) \mapsto nh$$

where $SU_n \times S^1$ is the semidirect product of SU_n and S^1 and we identify S^1 with the subgroup of U_n ~~isomorphic~~ given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & \\ & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\in U_n} \mid z \in S^1 \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can write $O_n \cong SO_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where we identify

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\in O_n} \right\}$$

$$\text{Then, it follows that } U_n/O_n \cong SU_n/SO_n \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$$

Thus shows that the fiber of $\det_c^2: U_n/O_n \rightarrow S^1$ is exactly SU_n/O_n .

From the LER of the fibration \det_c^2 , we get

$$\bar{u}_1(\det_c^2) \circ \bar{u}_1(SU_n/SO_n) \rightarrow \bar{u}_1(SU_n/SO_n \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \bar{u}_1(S^1)$$

!!

Thus shows that \det_c^2 induces an injection on \bar{u}_1 -graphs.

It also induces a surjection (this is clear) $\Rightarrow \det_c^2: U_n/O_n \rightarrow S^1$ induces an isomorphism on \bar{u}_1 .